SINGLE BENCH

CF 1807

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. (C) 4658 OF 2006

PETITIONER: Smt Renuka Sahu, aged 40 years
W/o Sri Niranjan singh Sahu,
Sarpanch of Village - Attang,
Tah- Kurud , Distt.Dhamtari (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4697/06
Presented by Shri Sameer Sahu
dated 25/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS
1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Collector Dhamtati.
Distt- Dhamtari (CG)
2. The Sub- Divisional Officer,Dhamtari
3. Secretary Gram Panchayat, Attang Tah- Kurud, Distt- Dhamtari, Through Sarpanch
4. Prathmik Machhua Sahkari Samiti Attang through President Dindayal Tarak R/o Vill- Attang ,Tah- Kurud Distt- Dhamtari [CG]

RECEIVED-COPY
25/8/06
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

(57)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(C)-4658/06 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	04.09.2006 Shri Ashok Vaishnav, Counsel for the petitioner. Shri N.K. Agrawal, Dy. A.G. for the State. Heard on admission. The petitioner, who is the Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat, has filed this petition being aggrieved with the order dated 6/6/2006 passed by the Upper Collector, by which, the said authority has directed for distribution of the tanks of Gram Panchayat, Attang to *Prathmik Macchua Sahkari Samiti*, Attang. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier to this order, the tanks were allotted to 4 different societies and this order has an effect of cancellation of the earlier allotment made by the Gram Panchayat, therefore, the Gram Panchayat is aggrieved and this petition has been filed by the Sarpanch of the said Gram Panchayat. A perusal of the petition and the records annexed therewith would show that in the facts and circumstances, the societies whose lease has been cancelled may have been the aggrieved party to file the petition and not the Sarpanch of the Gram Panchayat, who has only signed the order of allotment to the earlier allottees and in this manner, this petitioner has no *locus standi* to file a Writ Petition in her personal capacity for the said grievance. I am not inclined to admit this petition for hearing filed by the Sarpanch in her personal capacity. The petition has no merit and the same is dismissed at the motion stage itself. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	